

On the *In Vivo* Deformation of the Mitral Valve Anterior Leaflet: Effects of Annular Geometry and Referential Configuration

Rouzbeh Amini,¹ Chad E. Eckert,¹ Kevin Koomalsingh,² Jeremy McGarvey,² Masahito Minakawa,² Joseph H. Gorman,³ Robert C. Gorman,² and Michael S. Sacks^{1,4}

¹Department of Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ²Gorman Cardiovascular Research Group, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ³Gorman Cardiovascular Research Group, Glenolden Research Laboratory, University of Pennsylvania, 500 S. Ridgeway Avenue, Glenolden, PA 19036, USA; and ⁴Department of Biomedical Engineering, Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences (ICES), University of Texas at Austin, 201 East 24th Street, ACES 5.438, 1 University Station C0200, Austin, TX 78712-0027, USA

(Received 29 August 2011; accepted 25 January 2012)

Associate Editor Jane Grande-Allen oversaw the review of this article.

Abstract-Alteration of the native mitral valve (MV) shape has been hypothesized to have a profound effect on the local tissue stress distribution, and is potentially linked to limitations in repair durability. The present study was undertaken to elucidate the relation between MV annular shape and central mitral valve anterior leaflet (MVAL) strain history, using flat annuloplasty in an ovine model. In addition, we report for the first time the presence of residual in vivo leaflet strains. In vivo leaflet deformations were measured using sonocrystal transducers sutured to the MVAL (n = 10), with the 3D positions acquired over the full cardiac cycle. In six animals a flat ring was sutured to the annulus and the transducer positions recorded, while in the remaining four the MV was excised from the exsanguinated heart and the stress-free transducer positions obtained. In the central region of the MVAL the peak stretch values, referenced to the minimum left ventricular pressure (LVP), were 1.10 \pm 0.01 and 1.31 \pm 0.03 (mean \pm standard error) in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively. Following flat ring annuloplasty, the central MVAL contracted 28% circumferentially and elongated 16% radially at minimum LVP, and the circumferential direction was under a negative strain state during the entire cardiac cycle. After valve excision from the exsanguinated heart, the MVAL contracted significantly (18 and 30% in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively), indicating the presence of substantial in vivo residual strains. While the physiological function of the residual strains (and their associated stresses) are at present unknown, accounting for their presence is clearly necessary for accurate computational simulations of MV function. Moreover, we demonstrated that changes in annular geometry dramatically alter valvular functional strains in vivo. As levels of homeostatic strains are related to tissue

remodeling and homeostasis, our results suggest that surgically introduced alterations in MV shape could lead to the long term MV mechanobiological and microstructural alterations that could ultimately affect MV repair durability.

Keywords—Flat ring annuloplasty, Repair surgery, Stress-free state.

INTRODUCTION

Mitral valve (MV) repair procedures are commonly used to treat valvular dysfunctions such as regurgitation or stenosis.^{1,46} Whenever possible, repair procedures are preferred to valve replacements as they maintain better ventricular mechanics and lead to fewer postsurgical complications such as endocarditis, thromboembolism, and anticoagulant-related hemorrhage.^{5,22,23,69} A comparative study⁴⁹ conducted on 100 patients over 7 years, has shown that MV repair was associated with fewer overall valve-related deaths, procedure-related morbidity and mortality, and reoperation when compared with valve replacement with either mechanical or bioprosthetic devices.

Despite the benefits of repair, recent data have indicated the durability of such procedures to be significantly less than previously thought. For example, 10–16% of patients undergoing mitral valve repair for myxomatous disease required reoperation for severe regurgitation within 10 years.^{5,12,13,21,22} Repair failure rates for patients with ischemic mitral regurgitation are even more sobering with a 30% recurrence rate of significant MR at 6 months after surgery and a 3–5 year rate approaching 60%. For both diseases, repair failures are associated with disruption of both leaflet and

Address correspondence to Michael S. Sacks, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences (ICES), University of Texas at Austin, 201 East 24th Street, ACES 5.438, 1 University Station C0200, Austin, TX 78712-0027, USA. Electronic mail: msacks@ices.utexas.edu

annular suture lines.²¹ Such failure mechanisms suggest the repair-induced changes in the mechanical loading of the valvular tissue as an etiological factor.

Ring annuloplasty is a technical main-stay of all MV repair procedures, the purpose of which is to restore normal annular size and shape, and consequently to facilitate more natural leaflet motion and coaptation.⁷ The pre-operative MV annulus is generally larger than normal functioning annulus and *in vitro* studies have shown that the shape of annuloplasty ring affect the leaflet deformations.^{29,47} In particular, a saddle shape annuloplasty ring reduces the systolic strain both in the MV anterior and MV posterior leaflets.^{29,47} In addition, *in vivo* studies have shown that annuloplasty ring shape influences both leaflet geometry and function.^{3,4,42,43,54} Such dramatic anatomical changes may lead to changes in the valvular functioning strains and stresses.

In biomechanics, the presence of normal tissue stresses has long been considered closely related to tissue homeostasis.^{10,11,18} Moreover, it has been shown that pathophysiological alteration in mechanical loading can lead to stress changes and subsequent tissue adaptation.^{20,41} In the MV, numerous pathological factors such as tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy,⁶³ mitral regurgitation,^{37,51} and abnormal ventricular wall motion^{37,51} as well as surgically introduced anatomical changes⁶² have been shown to change the microstructural components. Collectively, our knowledge of disease and repairinduced alternations in MV geometry suggests that they lead to altered tissue stresses, which ultimately affects the durability of the repaired valve. For example, altering valvular mechanical loading could lead to concomitant changes in collagen biosynthesis, the main load-bearing structural component of the MV extracellular matrix (ECM).⁴⁵ As shown by Stephens *et al.*⁶² changing the MV tissue mechanical loading simply by making a surgical hole into the mitral valve leaflet altered MV ECM collagen content. In addition, recent studies have suggested that decreasing the amount of mechanical strain would lead to an increased rate of collagen degradation.^{6,70} In short, changes in the tissue deformations from those normally experienced in vivo, induced by surgical repair procedures, may lead to cellular responses and tissue remodeling that would ultimately dictate the extent of long-term durability.

For quantifying mechanical *in vivo* strains, an unloaded referential strain is required. While not definitely proven, the minimum left ventricular pressure (LVP) has been generally considered as the preferred referential configuration.¹⁵ Recent studies⁵² have shown that other stages of cardiac cycle used as the referential configuration, notably end-diastole or leaflet separation stages, would also produce strains values similar to those obtained from minimum LVP referential configuration. However, while residual strains are known to exist



within the aortic valve layers,^{61,66,67} it is not known whether residual strain exists in valvular tissues in their *in vivo* state. As noted by Fung,¹⁹ accounting for residual strains is critical for both constitutive model development and subsequent computational simulations; both highly dependent on precise knowledge of the referential configuration.⁶⁸ Moreover, critical linkages to the underlying valve interstitial cell mechanobiological responses⁵⁵ require a detailed knowledge of the relation between tissue and cellular deformation, which is only possible when the in vivo strain state is known. Finally, the MV strains have been quantified via in vitro^{27,47,58} and *in vivo*^{28,32–35,52} measurements. In fact, we recently showed how MV posterior leaflet strain and annulus geometry are related in vitro.⁴⁷ However, the effects of annular geometry alteration on the anterior leaflet strain have not yet been studied in in vivo. Thus, in the present study we quantified the in vivo strain histories of ovine mitral valve anterior leaflet (MVAL) before and after alteration of the annular geometry, accomplished via the use of a flat ring annuloplasty. In addition, for the first time we determined the actual stress-free referential configuration of the MVAL by performing measurements of leaflet deformation in the exsanguinated heart and completely dissected (stress-free) states.

METHODS

Transducer Implantation and Data Collection

The 3D positional data collection methodology has been previously presented.^{15,56,57} Briefly, 10 adult (30-40 kg) Dorsett sheep that have been raised for laboratory work by commercial vendors were used. The animal experiments were conducted in compliance with guidelines for humane care (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1985). To prepare for transducer implantation, the animals were induced with sodium thiopental (10–15 mg/kg IV), intubated, anesthetized, and ventilated with iso-fluorane (1.5-2%) and oxygen. The surface ECG and arterial blood pressure were continuously monitored. A sterile left lateral thoracotomy was then performed and a total of nine 2-mm hemispherical piezoelectric transducers (Sonometrics, London, Ontario) were sutured on the MVAL. Five of the nine transducers were sutured around the annulus (marked as 1-5 in Fig. 1) and the other four on the midsection of the anterior leaflet (marked as 6-9 in Fig. 1). The transducer wires were brought out through the atriotomy incision. The sonocrystal transducer wires were then connected to a Sonometrics Series 5001 Digital Sonomicrometer (Sonometrics Corp., London, Ontario). Sonomicrometry array localization (SAL) was used to determine the threedimensional coordinates of each transducer every 5 ms



FIGURE 1. Arrangement of sonocrystal on the ovine anterior leaflet. Following the ring annuloplasty, crystals 1–5 were removed. The arrows show the circumferential (Circ.) and radial (Rad.) directions used in strain calculation at the midsection of the anterior leaflet. The midsection region surrounded by crystals 6–9 was used in bilinear finite elements surface fitting and the region surrounded by crystals 1–7 and silhouetted was used in biquadratic finite element surface fitting.

throughout the entire cardiac cycle with spatial resolutions of ~0.025 mm for each crystal.²⁴ Sonomicrometry positional data were then taken following neosynephrine infusion titrated to achieve systolic blood pressures of 150 mmHg.

To explore the effects of changes in annular geometry on dynamic leaflet strain in vivo, after data collection was completed for the normal valve (n = 6), the annulus crystals were removed and a flat physio ring was sutured on the annulus. Three-dimensional sonomicrometry positional data of the anterior leaflet crystals (marked as 6-9 in Fig. 1) were obtained for fifteen cardiac cycles for each data set. In both normal and post annuloplasty valve the cycle-to-cycle variations were extremely small, so in each case we chose the last cycle as the representative. To obtain stress-free referential configuration of the MV, in four normal valves after data collection (without removing the crystals) the animals were euthanized by an intracoronary infusion of potassium chloride (1 mEq/kg). Cardiac arrest was confirmed on ECG. The heart was then dissected, sonomicrometry positional data obtained as above, the MV dissected from the heart, and the stress-free three-dimensional sonomicrometry positional data collected. After resection, the anterior leaflet was gently positioned as flat as possible without imposing any external stress and suspended freefloating in the 0.9% normal saline solution. All stressfree positional data was measured in this state.

Strain Calculation in the Normal and Post-Ring-Annuloplasty Rings

The method by which the *in vivo* strain at the MVAL midsection (area defined by crystals 6–9 in Fig. 1) was

calculated from the three-dimensional sonocrystal displacement was described previously.48,58 Briefly, the minimum LVP configuration was chosen as the referential frame of strain calculation. Three-dimensional positions of the four crystals on the midsection of the anterior leaflet (crystals 6-9, Fig. 1) were fitted to a computational domain using a single bilinear surface finite element. Note that we did not use the sonocrystals as nodes but rather fitted each component of the displacement field to a single element. In particular, 3D position data were rotated and translated to keep the undeformed position approximately parallel to the XY plane. Therefore, the new X-axis was inside a plane that bisected the two lines made from markers 7-9 and from markers 6-8 (approximately parallel to the line made by markers 7 and 6), and the new Y-axis was tangent to the surface and inside the other plane that bisected the two lines made from markers 7–9 and from markers 6–8 (approximately parallel to the markers 9 and 6). In the X and Y directions, the nodal positions of the bilinear element were obtained from the maximum and minimum values of the sonocrystal positional data:

$$\hat{X}_{i} = X_{\min} + \frac{\xi_{i} + 1}{2} (X_{\max} - X_{\min})$$
(1)

$$\hat{Y}_i = Y_{\min} + \frac{\eta_i + 1}{2} (Y_{\max} - Y_{\min})$$
 (2)

where \hat{X} and \hat{Y} were the nodal postions of the bilinear element and ξ , $\eta \in \{-1, 1\}$. We then found the \hat{Z} nodal position of the bilinear finite element by minimizing ε_{fit} , the error between the values of sonocrystal data and fitted plane:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{fit}_{(Z)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| Z^{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{4} \hat{Z}_{j} \phi_{j} (\xi^{i}, \eta^{i}) \right\|^{2}$$
(3)

with *m* being the total number of sonocrystals (m = 4), Z^i being the Z-coordinate of *i*th-crystal obtained from sonomicrometry positional data, \hat{Z}_j being the Z-coordinate of the *j*th finite element node (fitting parameter), $\xi - \eta$ being the computational domain, and $\phi_j(\xi^i, \eta^i)$ being the *j*th bilinear basis function calculated for the *i*th sonocrystal. An in-plane curvilinear coordinate system was then defined using the fitted surface. In particular, e_1 was tangent to the surface and parallel to the X-axis, e_2 was tangent to the surface and parallel to the Y-axis, and $e_3 = \frac{e_1 \times e_2}{\|e_1 \times e_2\|}$. Note that e_1 and e_2 are not necessarily orthogonal. In the deformed configuration, the positional data were related to the undeformed values by minimizing the fitting error ε_{fit} :

$$\varepsilon_{\operatorname{fit}_{(x)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| x^i - \sum_{j=1}^{4} \hat{x}_j \phi_j \left(\xi^i, \eta^i \right) \right\|^2 \tag{4}$$



AMINI et al.

$$\varepsilon_{\text{fit}_{(y)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| y^{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{4} \hat{y}_{j} \phi_{j}(\xi^{i}, \eta^{i}) \right\|^{2}$$
(5)

$$\varepsilon_{\text{fit}_{(z)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| z^i - \sum_{j=1}^{4} \hat{z}_j \phi_j \left(\xi^i, \eta^i \right) \right\|^2 \tag{6}$$

For the deformed configuration, an in-plane nonorthogonal convective coordinate system was defined. In this coordinate system, e_1^* of the new curvilinear coordinate system was tangent to the deformed surface and parallel to the X-axis, e_2^* was tangent to the surface and parallel to the Y-axis, and $e_3^* = \frac{e_1^* \times e_2^*}{\|e_1^* \times e_2^*\|}$. Similarly, e_1^* and e_2^* are not necessarily orthogonal. Since we are utilizing a convective coordinate system, all the information about strain is contained in the change of the metric tensor as the frame of reference is distorted from the original to the deformed configurations. Thus, we can define the strain tensor $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ from the metric tensor in the current $(g_{\alpha\beta}(t))$ and referential $(G_{\alpha\beta})$ configurations using

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(t) = e_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(g_{\alpha\beta}(t) - G_{\alpha\beta} \right)$$
(7)

The resulting principle values and principle directions of the strain tensor were obtained from solving the eigenvalue problem for the Euler-Almansi strain tensor $\mathbf{e}(t)$ expressed in the mixed notation:

$$\det\left(\gamma^{\alpha}_{.\beta} - e_{(\mathbf{n})}\delta^{\alpha}_{.\beta}\right) = 0 \tag{8}$$

The eigenvalues $e_{(\mathbf{n}_1)}$ and $e_{(\mathbf{n}_2)}$ and their corresponding eigenvectors \mathbf{n}_1 and \mathbf{n}_2 were then calculated, from which the principal stretch values $\lambda_{(\mathbf{n}_i)}$ were obtained using $e_{(\mathbf{n}_i)}$:

$$\lambda_{(\mathbf{n}_i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2e_{(\mathbf{n}_i)}}} \tag{9}$$

The physical components of the Euler–Almansi strain tensor $\hat{e}_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ were obtained from

$$\hat{e}_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \frac{\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(t)}{\sqrt{g_{(\alpha\alpha)}(t)g_{(\beta\beta)}(t)}}$$
(10)

where $g_{(\alpha\alpha)}$'s are the diagonal components of the metric tensor (i.e. g_{11} and g_{22} in the 2D case). As shown in Fig. 1, at the center of the leaflet midsection, the circumferential direction was defined parallel to \mathbf{e}_1 (i.e. $e_c = e_1$) and the radial direction was defined by $e_r = \frac{e_3 \times e_c}{\|e_3 \times e_c\|}$. Using e_r and e_c , we calculated the radial and circumferential strains:

$$e_{\rm rr}(t) = e_{\rm r} \cdot \hat{e}_{\alpha\beta}(t) \cdot e_{\rm r} \tag{11}$$

$$e_{\rm cc}(t) = e_{\rm c} \cdot \hat{e}_{\alpha\beta}(t) \cdot e_{\rm c} \tag{12}$$

To quantify local areal changes D(t) at the center of the leaflet midsection, we used



$$D(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\det(g_{\alpha\beta}(t))}{\det(G_{\alpha\beta})}}$$
(13)

We also calculated the shear angle, $\Delta\theta(t)$, defined as the difference between θ_0 , angle between in-plane unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_2 in the reference configuration, and $\theta(t)$ the angle between their deformed the counterparts:

δ

$$\theta(t) = \theta(t) - \theta_0 \tag{14}$$

where

$$\theta_0 = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{G_{12}}{\sqrt{G_{11} G_{22}}} \right) \tag{15}$$

$$\theta(t) = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{g_{12}(t)}{\sqrt{g_{11(t)}g_{22(t)}}} \right)$$
(16)

Local vs. Regional Strain Fields

One question we had was how representative the midsection strains were in comparison to the region silhouetted in Fig. 1 (most of the MVAL excluding the coaptation region). Using all available crystals (leaflet and annulus) we obtained an approximation of the dynamic strain over this larger region in the normal MVAL. The equations for strain calculations were identical to those of the four-crystal case. The position of all nine crystals was used to construct a single biquadratic finite element. Similar to the bilinear case, the biquadratic nodal positions were not the same as the crystal positions. The biquadratic nodal positions were obtained using finite element interpolation methods described in our previous works extensively.48,60 The fitted surface was smoothened by adding Sobolev norm penalty terms, as described previously.²⁶ Briefly, the fitting error ε_{fit} was defined by:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{fit}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| z^i - \sum_{j=1}^{9} \widehat{z}_j \psi_j \left(\xi^i, \eta^i \right) \right\|^2 + S[\mathbf{z}(\xi, \eta)] d\xi \, d\eta \quad (17)$$

with *m* being the total number of sonocrystals (m = 9), z^i being the z-coordinate of *i*th-crystal obtained from sonomicrometry positional data, \hat{z}_j being the z-coordinate of the *j*th finite element node (fitting parameter), $\xi - \eta$ being the computational domain, $\psi_j(\xi^i, \eta^i)$ being the *j*th bi-quadratic basis function calculated for the *i*th sonocrystal, and $S[\mathbf{z}(\xi, \eta)]$ being the Sobolev norm integrand defined by

$$S[\mathbf{z}(\xi,\eta)] = A\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \xi}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \eta}\right)^2\right] + B\left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{z}}{\partial \xi^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{z}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{z}}{\partial \eta^2}\right)^2\right]$$
(18)

To calibrate the penalty terms A and B, we minimized the error between fitted surface using ninecrystal-method and experimentally measured high spatial density description of a typical MVAL using ultrasound imagining. In particular, we obtained 3D anatomically accurate ultrasound positional data of the atrial surface of a typical ovine MVAL (shown in Fig. 2a in a light color). The image was obtained when the leaflets achieved coaptation. We subsequently selected nine points (shown in Fig. 2a by dark solid spheres) from the ultrasound data to create a fitted surface using the method explained above (shown in Fig. 2a in a dark color). The positions of these nine representative ultrasound data points were chosen in such way to approximately match the position of nine sonocrystals used in a typical in vivo experimental measurements. To find the best fitted surface, we explored a range of 10^{-1} to 10^{-5} for A and B. For each value of A and B, we calculated ε_{US} , the shortest distance between the fitted surface and anatomically accurate ultrasound positional data. Using ε_{US} , we calculated the mean square root of error MSRE_{US}:

$$MSRE_{US} = \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varepsilon_{US_{(k)}}^2}$$
(19)

where *p* was the total number of the points available from the anatomically accurate ultrasound positional data. The values of *A* and *B* that minimized $MSRE_{US}$ were chosen as the appropriate calibrated penalty term values for the *in vivo* data analysis. The ultrasound images for this part were obtained using real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic (rt-3DE) data sets *via* a Phillips iE33 platform in conjunction with either an X4 external probe or an X7-2t TEE probe. Gated images were acquired over four cardiac cycles at a sampling rate of 25 Hz. Rt-3DE data sets and were exported to a dedicated EchoView (Tomtec Imaging Systems) software workstation. All image manipulation was performed in the EchoView environment.

RESULTS

Surface Fitting and Regional Strain in Normal Functioning MV

The fitting error was minimized when the values of penalty terms A and B were in the order of $\sim 10^{-3}$ (Fig. 2b). The atrial surface of the MV is known to be smoother than the ventricular side¹⁴ but the atomically accurate surface obtained from 3D ultrasound positional data still contained few regions with sharp curvatures. Both natural anatomy of the MV atrial surface and the low density of data points obtained from ultrasound imaging could have contributed to this slight lack of smoothness. Although the smoothened surface obtained from nine-crystal-fitting method did not capture the sharp curvature of these regions, the overall fitting error was acceptable ($MSRE_{US} < 0.57$ mm and $\varepsilon_{\rm US}$ < 1.2 mm). The strain calculated *via* the extended anterior leaflet (using all nine crystals) demonstrated a small variability over the midsection of the anterior leaflet. In particular, both maximum and minimum principal stretches varied only about 10% within the midsection region of the anterior leaflet at maximum LVP in this typical example (Fig. 3). The relative areal change also altered only by 7% within the midsection region bounded by markers 6-9.

Although not identical to the extended leaflet region, the maximum and minimum stretches within the midsection region were uniform for the four midsection crystals used to calculate the strain at maximum LVP (Figs. 4a, 4b). The maximum and minimum principal stretches were within the ranges of \sim 1.02–1.04 and \sim 0.95–0.96, respectively. The directions of the maximum and minimum principal values were also uniform within



FIGURE 2. (a) A single biquadratic finite element surface fitted to the nine crystals (darker surface) in comparison to the surface obtained from ultrasound data (lighter surface). (b) Fitting error defined as the shortest distance between the ultrasound surface and the fitted surface at each point on the surface.





FIGURE 3. A typical example showing that both the values and directions of maximum and minimum principal stretches over the anterior leaf were fairly homogeneous (variation in the order of ~0.1). The principal stretches were calculated using the *nine crystal* biquadratic element fit.

this region of the MVAL leaflet. Areal changes demonstrated a small variation when four crystals were used for strain calculation. The areal changes were within the range of ~0.96-1.01 at maximum LVP in this typical example (Fig. 4c). Collectively, it was observed that in both methods (i.e. either using the extended leaflet or only midsection crystals), the kinematical measurements were rather uniform in the midsection region of the valve. Therefore, from hereon we only report the stretch values at the geometric center of midsection region (marked with a solid circle as the origin of the coordinate system shown in Fig. 1). In addition, at the geometric center of midsection region the maximum value of the shear angle (calculated using 4 bisectional crystals) over the entire cardiac cycle was $10.7^{\circ} \pm 1.83^{\circ}$ (mean \pm standard error, n = 6).

Effects of Alteration in Annular Geometry

The circumferential and radial stretches changed dramatically following complete geometrical/physical restriction of the annulus (Fig. 5). In the normal valve both, circumferential and radial (Fig. 5) stretches increased as LVP reached its maximum value ("I" in Fig. 5). Restricting the annulus by suturing an annuloplasty ring on the valve caused dramatic changes in the values of stretches. The minimum LVP stretch dropped to 0.74 and increased to 1.15 in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively ("II" in Fig. 5). The dramatic changes in the minimum LVP stretches indicated that following annular restriction the leaflet was contracted in the circumferential direction.

The *p* values of the Student *t* test performed for the stretch values of the normal and post-ring annuloplasty valves are presented in Table 1. In the normal valves, the



anterior leaflet stretch at the maximum LVP was significantly larger than 1.0 (the minimum LVP referential value). The maximum LVP stretch was larger in the radial direction $(1.31 \pm 0.03 \text{ (mean} \pm \text{standard error)})$ than the circumferential direction (1.10 ± 0.01) . Restricting the annulus by suturing flat annuloplasty rings to the valves caused a significant change in the stretch at the minimum LVP. As shown in Fig. 6, the circumferential stretch dropped to 0.72 ± 0.03 whereas the radial stretch increased to 1.16 ± 0.01 .

Following annulus restriction, the stretch at maximum LVP was still less than 1.0 (0.89 \pm 0.04) in the circumferential direction. Therefore, the valve was under negative strain in the circumferential direction during the entire cardiac cycle. Had the stretches at the restrictedannulus maximum LVP been calculated in reference to the restricted-annulus minimum LVP configuration of the four crystals, the predicted values of the stretch would have been incorrect. In particular, a smaller value of elongation would have been predicted in the radial direction (shown with a solid bar in Fig. 6). In the circumferential direction, we would have incorrectly concluded that the tissue had been under extension (shown with a solid bar in Fig. 6). Following annulus restriction, the maximum shear angle slightly dropped to $8.4^{\circ} \pm 2.4^{\circ}$. The annulus-restriction-induced changes in the shear angle were not statistically significant (p value = 0.5).

Changes in Referential Configuration

In both circumferential and radial directions, the stretch values were larger when referenced to stress-free state (Fig. 7). In comparison to stress-free state, a normal functioning valve at the minimum LVP is stretched 21 and 41% in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively. Using the stress-free state as the reference,



FIGURE 4. A typical example showing that both the values and directions of (a) maximum and (b) minimum principal stretches over the anterior leaf midsection were fairly homogeneous (variation in the order of ~0.01). The principal stretches were calculated using the *four crystal* bilinear element fit. (c) The variability in the areal changes calculated from *four crystal* data was also minimal.



FIGURE 5. A typical example showing the dynamic changes in the circumferential and radial stretches in the normal and annulusrestricted valves. The changes highlighted by roman numbers are further specified with null hypotheses for the collective data in Table 1.

the calculated stretches at the maximum LVP were 1.22 ± 0.07 and 1.65 ± 0.08 in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively. These values were significantly different from those referenced to the minimum LVP discussed above (1.10 ± 0.01) in the circumferential

direction and 1.31 ± 0.03 in the radial direction). Therefore, the choice of referential frame has a profound effect on the calculated *in vivo* strains. This effect reported for the first time in this study, demonstrates that the normal functional valve is under residual strain/stress.



TABLE 1.	Statistical	analysis	of in	vivo	stretch	data	in '	the
normal and post ring annuloplasty cases.								

		p value		
	Null hypothesis	Radial direction	Circumferentia direction	
I.	In the normal valves, the stretch at max LVP is the same as the stretch at minimum LVP	< 0.05	<0.05	
II.	Restricting the annulus does not change the minimum LVP stretch	< 0.05	< 0.05	
III.	Restricting the annulus does not change the maximum LVP stretch	0.67	< 0.05	
IV.	The stretch at max LVP is the same as the stretch at minimum LVP when the annulus is geometrically restricted	< 0.05	0.06	

The null hypotheses correspond to differences highlighted by roman numbers in a typical experiment shown in Fig. 5. p values were obtained from double-sided paired Student t test (n = 6).

DISCUSSION

Overall, geometrical changes in the MV annulus could alter MV functional stresses and thus are an etiological factor in modulating long-term durability. In the present study, we demonstrated that a single unit tissue element at the center of the anterior leaflet midsection at minimum LVP underwent dramatic changes during a normal cardiac cycle, after geometrical/physical restriction of the annulus, upon exsanguination, and further upon valve excision (Fig. 8). The magnitude of the residual strains observed in mitral valve is comparable to those observed in the porcine aortic valves following layer separation.⁶¹ In particular, following layer separation, the fibrosa underwent 28.2 and 4.8% extension in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively. The ventricularis, contracted 10.9 and 8.2% in circumferential and radial directions, respectively. Although in the current study we did not separate the different layers of MVAL, following MV resection, we observed 10.7 and



FIGURE 6. Stretches in the circumferential and radial directions in the normal and annulus-restricted valves at the minimum and maximum functioning left ventricular pressures (LVP). Error bars are standard errors (n = 6).



FIGURE 7. Stretches in the circumferential and radial directions in the normal valve referenced to stress-free (excised valve) crystal configurations. Error bars are standard errors (n = 4).



On the In Vivo Deformation of the MVAL



FIGURE 8. Schematic of a single unit square element at the anterior leaflet midsection at minimum LVP undergoing average values of stretches shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

29.0% contraction in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively.

An important finding of this study was the dramatic changes in the leaflet in vivo strains observed following annular flattening. It has been shown that mitral annuli are saddle shaped, ^{24,30,31,39} and thus suturing a flat ring on the annulus of a normal valve would alter its normal physiological shape. For example, in vivo measurements have shown that both septal-lateral and commissure-to-commissure dimensions change following annular restriction *via* flat ring annuloplasty.⁴ Echocardiographical studies have also shown that both in human^{42,43} and ovine⁵⁴ subjects, the geometry of the annulus changes following ring annuloplasty. Therefore, ring annuloplasty was chosen in this study as an example of surgically induced geometric/physical restriction in the MV annulus. Yet, neither of these studies has addressed the in vivo effects. A recent study³ conducted on ovine valves following annuloplasty with numerous types of rings has shown that the range functional strain changes post annuloplasty. However, since minimum LVP of the normal valve was used for strain calculation of the normal valve and minimum LVP of the post-annuloplasty valve was used for its strain calculation, the direct comparison of the two cases was rendered impossible. Using our in vivo sonomicrometry positional data we demonstrated that the MVAL leaflet underwent completely different functional strains following annular restriction. In our strain calculations, we used the same referential configuration for strain calculation in both normal and post-annuloplasty ring and consequently we were able

to observe the direct effect of annular restriction on the functioning valvular strains.

Previously, using *in vitro* models,^{29,47} we demonstrated that both in the anterior and posterior leaflets, the systolic strains in the midsection region were larger when a flat annulus apparatus was used in comparison to a saddle-shaped annulus. Although there exist a qualitative similarity between the in vitro and in vivo measurement, the exact strain values are not similar. Using two different subject species (ovine vs. porcine) could have contributed to the lack of quantitative similarities. Another important reason for the lack of similarity is the different choices of referential frames in the in vivo and in vitro studies. In the current in vivo study, we chose the minimum LVP of the normal valve as the referential configuration for the both normal and annulus restricted valves. In the in vitro study, however, the minimum LVP of each case was used as its own strain referential frame. Therefore, the differences between the peak strains were reported relative to multiple referential frames. Finally, in the in vitro study, the valve was excised first and then mounted on the experimental apparatus. Therefore the leaflet configuration could have changed due to excision and mounting manipulation. Consequently, the in vitro minimum LVP referential configuration was not necessarily similar to either in vivo minimum LVP or ex vivo stress-free referential configurations. Therefore, the qualitative comparison between the in vivo and in vitro studies is rendered impossible. In our previous studies,⁵⁶ we showed that in the midsection of normal ovine MV anterior leaflets, at maximum LVP of



150 mmHg, the *in vivo* circumferential and radial strains were ~2.5 and ~22%, respectively. In our current work, we showed that the circumferential and radial stretches were 1.10 ± 0.01 and 1.31 ± 0.03 , respectively. Therefore the strains were ~8 and ~21% in the circumferential and radial directions, respectively.

Importance of Strain Calculation Referential Frame and the Existence of Residual Stresses

In this study, for the first time, we showed that mitral valve tissues are under residual strains in vivo. As a result, even at minimum LVP, when the functioning valvular stretch is minimized, a normal valve is under residual functioning stresses. One may postulate that, similar to the aortic valve,⁶¹ the highly oriented elastin networks in the MV atrialis and ventricularis layers may contribute to residual function stresses and modulate the valve deformation under low pressures. The functioning state of stress is closely related to tissue homeostasis and maintaining of the extracellular integrity.^{6,70} Accounting for the residual stresses are important as they produce a more efficient loadbearing structure in the presence of functioning stress gradients in the biological tissues.^{9,11} Further, different levels of homeostatic residual stresses have been considered closely related to tissues growth and remodeling.^{53,64,65}

Quantification of the strains in reference to stress-free state is also critical to calculate functional in vivo stresses either via experimental methods or from computational models.^{16,17,50} In particular, the mechanical properties of the MV are generally obtained from conventional mechanical testing protocols such biaxial^{25,40,44} and uniaxial^{2,50} tests, in which the stress-free state is generally used for the strain calculation. It is important to be consistent in utilizing the same stress-free reference frame for both mechanical tests and in vivo stress calculation; otherwise the stress prediction would be incorrect. In the porcine mitral valves, it has been shown that the annular length of an intact valve is shorter than that of an excised valve suggesting a circumferential elongation following resection.³⁶ In the anterior leaflet midsection, however, we observed circumferential and radial contraction following resection. The difference between the annular and belly regions is noteworthy specially in generating finite element models of the MV.

Study Limitations

Due to anatomical space limitations, we were able to suture only four sonocrystals on the midsection of the MVAL. Although we stated that the principal



values and directions of the stretches were relatively uniform in the midsection region, one should note that using only four position markers limited us to a bilinear strain variation within the element region.³⁸ We have shown previously⁵⁶ using nine position markers located at the same area produced reasonably homogenous strain results over most of the area. Rausch *et al.*⁵² have also confirmed this observation using larger number of position makers. Note too that the use of a 2×2 array greatly simplifies the experimental procedures.

One may also suspect that the MVAL may fold following ring annuloplasty and the bilinear element utilized in this study may falsely calculate a negative strain as the curvature increases. To address this issue, we performed a follow-up study wherein a single crystal was added at the geometric center of the four nodes on the midsection region (i.e. at the origin of the coordinate system in Fig. 1). We calculated the distance from this additional crystal to a plane passing through crystals 6-9. In the normal valve, at minimum LVP pressure, the distance was ~0.95 mm. After performing ring-annuloplasty, at minimum LVP pressure, the distance decreased to ~0.50 mm. Following annuloplasty, had the curvature increased without any planar strain, we would have observed a substantial increase in the distance of the additional crystal from the plane that passes through crystals 6-9. Based on the results of this pilot study, we concluded that the changes in the curvature due to the application of ring annuloplasty were small over the region delimited by the sonocrystals 6-9, and that we are reporting true tissue strains.

Following annular restriction, the strains change over the entire leaflet and not just the midsection. In a normal MV, for example, the annulus dilates and twists over the full cardiac cycle.¹⁵ The annulus is, however, completely restricted from dilation or torsion as it is directly connected to the flat ring. The focus of this study was the MVAL midsection region and we did not measure the strain changes at the proximity of the MV annulus following the annulus restriction. In interpreting our results, one should also note that that while our specific results were obtained using an ovine model, general trends are likely to be similar in humans.

Long-Term Implications on MV Function

Increasing or decreasing the tissue strain due to annulus restriction changes the underlying extracellular matrix architecture under valvular functional loading. As discussed in the introduction section, altered (and potentially deleterious) loading may induce structural changes that could affect long-term valve durability. Currently, the most important objective sought in using annuloplasty rings is regaining the valvular normal hemodynamical functions.⁷ Although an annuloplasty ring chosen by an experienced surgeon with the right size, may well regain the normal coaptation and, at least in the short time, treat mitral valve regurgitation,⁷ it may dramatically deviate the leaflet from its normal physiological loading. Such changes may affect the tissue homeostasis and lead to remodeling of the ECM⁴⁵ that could limit the long-term durability. An ongoing effort has been dedicated to the design of repair procedures that, in addition to regaining the valvular normal hemodynamical functions, could also mimic the physiological shape of the annulus.⁸ Preliminary estimations have shown that the non-planar shape of the annulus could be advantageous in reducing the valvular stress as well.⁵⁹ Further investigation is necessary to explore in more details how profound the effect of annular restriction is on the in vivo stresses and how the stress changes would lead to leaflet remodeling and/or degeneration.

SUMMARY

In this study we demonstrated for the first time that *in vivo* functional strains of the MVAL alter profoundly following changes in annular geometry induced by a flat annuloplasty ring, including substantial compression in the circumferential direction. We also demonstrated that the MVAL functions under physiological residual strains/stresses. Such dramatic alteration of the *in vivo* functional strains may lead to significant changes in the functional stresses, which in-turn affect the constituent MV interstitial cellular population and collagen/elastin fiber stresses. We suggest that MV stress alteration, induced by geometrically restricting the annulus, may lead to cellular and/or microstructural responses that would affect the long-term valvular durability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research project was supported in part by grants from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute of the National Institute of Health, grant numbers F32HL110651, HL63954, and HL73021. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute of the National Institute of Health. R. Gorman and J. Gorman are supported by individual Established Investigator Awards from the American Heart Association, Dallas, TX. The help from Christopher Caruthers is much appreciated.

REFERENCES

- ¹Adams, D. H., R. Rosenhek, and V. Falk. Degenerative mitral valve regurgitation: best practice revolution. *Eur. Heart J.* 31(16):1958–1966, 2010.
- ²Barber, J. E., F. K. Kasper, N. B. Ratliff, D. M. Cosgrove, B. P. Griffin, and I. Vesely. Mechanical properties of myxomatous mitral valves. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 122(5):955–962, 2001.
- ³Bothe, W., E. Kuhl, J. P. Kvitting, M. K. Rausch, S. Goktepe, J. C. Swanson, S. Farahmandnia, N. B. Ingels, Jr., and D. C. Miller. Rigid, complete annuloplasty rings increase anterior mitral leaflet strains in the normal beating ovine heart. *Circulation* 124(11 Suppl):S81–S96, 2011.
- ⁴Bothe, W., J. P. Kvitting, J. C. Swanson, S. Hartnett, N. B. Ingels, Jr., and D. C. Miller. Effects of different annuloplasty rings on anterior mitral leaflet dimensions. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 139(5):1114–1122, 2010.
- ⁵Braunberger, E., A. Deloche, A. Berrebi, F. Abdallah, J. A. Celestin, P. Meimoun, G. Chatellier, S. Chauvaud, J. N. Fabiani, and A. Carpentier. Very long-term results (more than 20 years) of valve repair with carpentier's techniques in nonrheumatic mitral valve insufficiency. *Circulation* 104(12 Suppl 1):18–111, 2001.
- ⁶Camp, R. J., M. Liles, J. Beale, N. Saeidi, B. P. Flynn, E. Moore, S. K. Murthy, and J. W. Ruberti. Molecular mechanochemistry: low force switch slows enzymatic cleavage of human type I collagen monomer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 133(11):4073–4078, 2011.
- ⁷Carpentier, A. Cardiac valve surgery—the "French correction". J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 86(3):323–337, 1983.
 ⁸Carpentier, A. F., A. Lessana, J. Y. Relland, E. Belli, S. Mihaileanu, A. J. Berrebi, E. Palsky, and D. F. Loulmet. The "physio-ring": an advanced concept in mitral valve annuloplasty. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 60(5):1177–1185, 1995; discussion 1185–1186.
- ⁹Chuong, C. J., and Y. C. Fung. On residual stress in arteries. J. Biomech. Eng. 108:189–192, 1986.
- ¹⁰Chuong, C. J., and Y. C. Fung. On residual stresses in arteries. J. Biomech. Eng. 108(May):189–192, 1986.
- ¹¹Chuong, C. J., and Y. C. Fung. Residual stress in arteries. In: Frontiers in Biomechanics, edited by G. Schmid-Schonbein, S. L. Y. Woo, and B. Zweifach. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1986, pp. 117–129.
- ¹²Cohn, L. H., G. S. Couper, S. F. Aranki, R. J. Rizzo, N. M. Kinchla, and J. J. Collins, Jr. Long-term results of mitral valve reconstruction for regurgitation of the myxomatous mitral valve. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 107(1):143–150, 1994; discussion 150–151.
- ¹³David, T. E., S. Armstrong, Z. Sun, and L. Daniel. Late results of mitral valve repair for mitral regurgitation due to degenerative disease. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 56(1):7–12, 1993; discussion 13–14.
- ¹⁴Duplessis, L. A., and P. Marchand. The anatomy of the mitral valve and its associated structures. *Thorax* 19:221– 227, 1964.
- ¹⁵Eckert, C. E., B. Zubiate, M. Vergnat, J. H. Gorman, III, R. C. Gorman, and M. S. Sacks. In vivo dynamic deformation of the mitral valve annulus. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 37(9):1757–1771, 2009.
- ¹⁶Einstein, D. R., F. Del Pin, X. Jiao, A. P. Kuprat, J. P. Carson, K. S. Kunzelman, R. P. Cochran, J. M. Guccione, and M. B. Ratcliffe. Fluid–structure interactions of the mitral valve and left heart: comprehensive strategies, past,



present and future. Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng. 26(3-4): 348-380, 2010.

- ¹⁷Einstein, D. R., P. Reinhall, M. Nicosia, R. P. Cochran, and K. Kunzelman. Dynamic finite element implementation of nonlinear, anisotropic hyperelastic biological membranes. *Comput. Methods Biomech. Biomed. Eng.* 6(1):33–44, 2003.
- ¹⁸Fung, Y. C. What are the residual stresses doing in our blood vessels? Ann. Biomed. Eng. 19(3):237–249, 1991.
- ¹⁹Fung, Y. C. Biomechanics: Mechanical Properties of Living Tissues. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- ²⁰Fung, Y. C., and S. Q. Liu. Changes of zero-stress state of rat pulmonary arteries in hypoxic hypertension. J. Appl. Physiol. 70(6):2455–2470, 1991.
- ²¹Gillinov, A. M., E. H. Blackstone, J. White, M. Howard, R. Ahkrass, A. Marullo, and D. M. Cosgrove. Durability of combined aortic and mitral valve repair. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 72(1):20–27, 2001.
- ²²Gillinov, A. M., D. M. Cosgrove, E. H. Blackstone, R. Diaz, J. H. Arnold, B. W. Lytle, N. G. Smedira, J. F. Sabik, P. M. McCarthy, and F. D. Loop. Durability of mitral valve repair for degenerative disease. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 116(5):734–743, 1998.
- ²³Goldsmith, I. R., G. Y. Lip, and R. L. Patel. A prospective study of changes in the quality of life of patients following mitral valve repair and replacement. *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.* 20(5):949–955, 2001.
- ²⁴Gorman, J. H., III, K. B. Gupta, J. T. Streicher, R. C. Gorman, B. M. Jackson, M. B. Ratcliffe, D. K. Bogen, and L. H. Edmunds, Jr. Dynamic three-dimensional imaging of the mitral valve and left ventricle by rapid sonomicrometry array localization. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 112(3):712–726, 1996.
- ²⁵Grashow, J. S., A. P. Yoganathan, and M. S. Sacks. Biaixal stress–stretch behavior of the mitral valve anterior leaflet at physiologic strain rates. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 34(2):315–325, 2006.
- ²⁶Hashima, A. R., A. A. Young, A. D. McCulloch, and L. K. Waldman. Nonhomogeneous analysis of epicardial strain distributions during acute myocardial ischemia in the dog. *J. Biomech.* 26:19–35, 1993.
- ²⁷He, Z., J. Ritchie, J. S. Grashow, M. S. Sacks, and A. P. Yoganathan. In vitro dynamic strain behavior of the mitral valve posterior leaflet. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 127(3):504–511, 2005.
- ²⁸Itoh, A., G. Krishnamurthy, J. C. Swanson, D. B. Ennis, W. Bothe, E. Kuhl, M. Karlsson, L. R. Davis, D. C. Miller, and N. B. Ingels, Jr. Active stiffening of mitral valve leaflets in the beating heart. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 296(6):H1766–H1773, 2009.
- ²⁹Jimenez, J. H., S. W. Liou, M. Padala, Z. He, M. Sacks, R. C. Gorman, J. H. Gorman, III, and A. P. Yoganathan. A saddle-shaped annulus reduces systolic strain on the central region of the mitral valve anterior leaflet. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 134(6):1562–1568, 2007.
- ³⁰Kaplan, S. R., G. Bashein, F. H. Sheehan, M. E. Legget, B. Munt, X. N. Li, M. Sivarajan, E. L. Bolson, M. Zeppa, M. Z. Arch, and R. W. Martin. Three-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of annular shape changes in the normal and regurgitant mitral valve. *Am. Heart J.* 139(3):378–387, 2000.
- ³¹Komeda, M., J. R. Glasson, A. F. Bolger, G. T. Daughters, 2nd, A. MacIsaac, S. N. Oesterle, N. B. Ingels, Jr., and D. C. Miller. Geometric determinants of ischemic mitral regurgitation. *Circulation* 96(9 Suppl):II-128–II-133, 1997.

- ³²Krishnamurthy, G., D. B. Ennis, A. Itoh, W. Bothe, J. C. Swanson, M. Karlsson, E. Kuhl, D. C. Miller, and N. B. Ingels, Jr. Material properties of the ovine mitral valve anterior leaflet in vivo from inverse finite element analysis. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 295(3):H1141–H1149, 2008.
- ³³Krishnamurthy, G., A. Itoh, W. Bothe, J. C. Swanson, E. Kuhl, M. Karlsson, D. Craig Miller, and N. B. Ingels, Jr. Stress–strain behavior of mitral valve leaflets in the beating ovine heart. J. Biomech. 42(12):1909–1916, 2009.
- ³⁴Krishnamurthy, G., A. Itoh, J. C. Swanson, W. Bothe, M. Karlsson, E. Kuhl, D. Craig Miller, and N. B. Ingels, Jr. Regional stiffening of the mitral valve anterior leaflet in the beating ovine heart. J. Biomech. 42(16):2697–2701, 2009.
- ³⁵Krishnamurthy, G., A. Itoh, J. C. Swanson, D. C. Miller, and N. B. Ingels, Jr. Transient stiffening of mitral valve leaflets in the beating heart. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 298(6):H2221–H2225, 2010.
- ³⁶Kunzelman, K. S., R. P. Cochran, E. D. Verrier, and R. C. Eberhart. Anatomic basis for mitral valve modelling. *J. Heart Valve Dis.* 3(5):491–496, 1994.
- ³⁷Kunzelman, K. S., D. W. Quick, and R. P. Cochran. Altered collagen concentration in mitral valve leaflets: biochemical and finite element analysis. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 66(6 Suppl):S198–S205, 1998.
- ³⁸Kvitting, J. P., W. Bothe, S. Goktepe, M. K. Rausch, J. C. Swanson, E. Kuhl, N. B. Ingels, Jr., and D. C. Miller. Anterior mitral leaflet curvature during the cardiac cycle in the normal ovine heart. *Circulation* 122(17):1683–1689, 2010.
- ³⁹Levine, R. A., M. D. Handschumacher, A. J. Sanfilippo, A. A. Hagege, P. Harrigan, J. E. Marshall, and A. E. Weyman. Three-dimensional echocardiographic reconstruction of the mitral valve, with implications for the diagnosis of mitral valve prolapse. *Circulation* 80(3):589–598, 1989.
- ⁴⁰Liao, J., L. Yang, J. Grashow, and M. S. Sacks. The relation between collagen fibril kinematics and mechanical properties in the mitral valve anterior leaflet. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 129(1):78–87, 2007.
- ⁴¹Liu, S. Q., and Y. C. Fung. Relationship between hypertension, hypertrophy, and opening angle of zero-stress state of arteries following aortic constriction. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 111(4):325–335, 1989.
- ⁴²Mahmood, F., J. H. Gorman, III, B. Subramaniam, R. C. Gorman, P. J. Panzica, R. C. Hagberg, A. B. Lerner, P. E. Hess, A. Maslow, and K. R. Khabbaz. Changes in mitral valve annular geometry after repair: saddle-shaped versus flat annuloplasty rings. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 90(4):1212–1220, 2010.
- ⁴³Mahmood, F., B. Subramaniam, J. H. Gorman, III, R. M. Levine, R. C. Gorman, A. Maslow, P. J. Panzica, R. M. Hagberg, S. Karthik, and K. R. Khabbaz. Three-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of changes in mitral valve geometry after valve repair. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 88(6):1838–1844, 2009.
- ⁴⁴May-Newman, K., and F. C. Yin. Biaxial mechanical behavior of excised porcine mitral valve leaflets. *Am. J. Physiol.* 269(4 Pt 2):H1319–H1327, 1995.
- ⁴⁵Merryman, W. D., I. Youn, H. D. Lukoff, P. M. Krueger, F. Guilak, R. A. Hopkins, and M. S. Sacks. Correlation between heart valve interstitial cell stiffness and transvalvular pressure: implications for collagen biosynthesis. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 290(1):H224–H231, 2006.
- ⁴⁶Nowicki, E. R., R. W. Weintraub, N. J. Birkmeyer, J. H. Sanders, L. J. Dacey, S. J. Lahey, B. Leavitt, R. A. Clough,



R. D. Quinn, and G. T. O'Connor. Mitral valve repair and replacement in northern New England. *Am Heart J* 145(6):1058–1062, 2003.

- ⁴⁷Padala, M., R. A. Hutchison, L. R. Croft, J. H. Jimenez, R. C. Gorman, J. H. Gorman, III, M. S. Sacks, and A. P. Yoganathan. Saddle shape of the mitral annulus reduces systolic strains on the P2 segment of the posterior mitral leaflet. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 88(5):1499–1504, 2009.
- ⁴⁸Padala, M., M. S. Sacks, S. W. Liou, K. Balachandran, Z. He, and A. P. Yoganathan. Mechanics of the mitral valve strut chordae insertion region. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 132(8):081004, 2010.
- ⁴⁹Perier, P., A. Deloche, S. Chauvaud, J. N. Fabiani, P. Rossant, J. P. Bessou, J. Relland, H. Bourezak, F. Gomez, P. Blondeau, *et al.* Comparative evaluation of mitral valve repair and replacement with Starr, Bjork, and porcine valve prostheses. *Circulation* 70(3 Pt 2):1187–1192, 1984.
- ⁵⁰Prot, V., B. Skallerud, G. Sommer, and G. A. Holzapfel. On modelling and analysis of healthy and pathological human mitral valves: two case studies. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 3(2):167–177, 2010.
- ⁵¹Quick, D. W., K. S. Kunzelman, J. M. Kneebone, and R. P. Cochran. Collagen synthesis is upregulated in mitral valves subjected to altered stress. *ASAIO J.* 43(3):181–186, 1997.
- ⁵²Rausch, M. K., W. Bothe, J. P. Kvitting, S. Goktepe, D. C. Miller, and E. Kuhl. In vivo dynamic strains of the ovine anterior mitral valve leaflet. *J. Biomech.* 44(6):1149–1157, 2011.
- ⁵³Rodriguez, E. K., A. Hoger, and A. D. McCulloch. Stressdependent finite growth in soft elastic tissues. J. Biomech. 27(4):455–467, 1994.
- ⁵⁴Ryan, L. P., B. M. Jackson, H. Hamamoto, T. J. Eperjesi, T. J. Plappert, M. St John-Sutton, R. C. Gorman, and J. H. Gorman, III. The influence of annuloplasty ring geometry on mitral leaflet curvature. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 86(3):749– 760, 2008; discussion 749–760.
- ⁵⁵Sacks, M. S., W. David Merryman, and D. E. Schmidt. On the biomechanics of heart valve function. *J. Biomech.* 42(12):1804–1824, 2009.
- ⁵⁶Sacks, M. S., Y. Enomoto, J. R. Graybill, W. D. Merryman, A. Zeeshan, A. P. Yoganathan, R. J. Levy, R. C. Gorman, and J. H. Gorman, III. In vivo dynamic deformation of the mitral valve anterior leaflet. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 82(4):1369–1377, 2006.
- ⁵⁷Sacks, M. S., H. Hamamoto, J. M. Connolly, R. C. Gorman, J. H. Gorman, III, and R. J. Levy. In vivo biomechanical assessment of triglycidylamine crosslinked pericardium. *Biomaterials* 28(35):5390–5398, 2007.

- ⁵⁸Sacks, M. S., Z. He, L. Baijens, S. Wanant, P. Shah, H. Sugimoto, and A. P. Yoganathan. Surface strains in the anterior leaflet of the functioning mitral valve. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 30(10):1281–1290, 2002.
- ⁵⁹Salgo, I. S., J. H. Gorman, III, R. C. Gorman, B. M. Jackson, F. W. Bowen, T. Plappert, M. G. St. John Sutton, and L. H. Edmunds, Jr. Effect of annular shape on leaflet curvature in reducing mitral leaflet stress. *Circulation* 106(6):711–717, 2002.
- ⁶⁰Smith, D. B., M. S. Sacks, D. A. Vorp, and M. Thornton. Surface geometric analysis of anatomic structures using biquintic finite element interpolation. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 28(6):598–611, 2000.
- ⁶¹Stella, J. A., and M. S. Sacks. On the biaxial mechanical properties of the layers of the aortic valve leaflet. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 129(5):757–766, 2007.
- ⁶²Stephens, E. H., T. C. Nguyen, A. Itoh, N. B. Ingels, Jr., D. C. Miller, and K. J. Grande-Allen. The effects of mitral regurgitation alone are sufficient for leaflet remodeling. *Circulation* 118(14 Suppl):S243–S249, 2008.
- ⁶³Stephens, E. H., T. A. Timek, G. T. Daughters, J. J. Kuo, A. M. Patton, L. S. Baggett, N. B. Ingels, D. C. Miller, and K. J. Grande-Allen. Significant changes in mitral valve leaflet matrix composition and turnover with tachycardiainduced cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 120(11 Suppl):S112– S119, 2009.
- ⁶⁴Taber, L. A. Biomechanics of cardiovascular development. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 3:1–25, 2001.
- ⁶⁵Taber, L. A., and J. D. Humphrey. Stress-modulated growth, residual stress, and vascular heterogeneity. J. Biomech. Eng. 123(6):528–535, 2001.
- ⁶⁶Vesely, I., A. Lozon, and E. Talman. Is zero-pressure fixation of bioprosthetic valves truly stress free? *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 106(2):288–298, 1993.
- ⁶⁷Vesely, I., and R. Noseworthy. Micromechanics of the fibrosa and the ventricularis in aortic valve leaflets. *J. Biomech.* 25(1):101–113, 1992.
- ⁶⁸Wognum, S., D. E. Schmidt, and M. S. Sacks. On the mechanical role of de novo synthesized elastin in the urinary bladder wall. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 131(10):101018, 2009.
- ⁶⁹Yacoub, M., M. Halim, R. Radley-Smith, R. McKay, A. Nijveld, and M. Towers. Surgical treatment of mitral regurgitation caused by floppy valves: repair versus replacement. *Circulation* 64(2 Pt. 2):II210–II216, 1981.
- ⁷⁰Zareian, R., K. P. Church, N. Saeidi, B. P. Flynn, J. W. Beale, and J. W. Ruberti. Probing collagen/enzyme mechanochemistry in native tissue with dynamic, enzyme-induced creep. *Langmuir* 26(12):9917–9926, 2010.

